

OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

65 East State Street, Suite 312

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 466-0880

CB304

APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Revised 6/90

IMPORTANT: Applicant should consult the "Instructions for Completion of Project Application" for assistance in the proper completion of this form.

**APPLICANT NAME
STREET**

City of Mt. Healthy

7700 Perry Street

CITY/ZIP

Mt. Healthy 45231

PROJECT NAME

Clovernook Avenue Reconstruction

PROJECT TYPE

Rehab

TOTAL COST

\$ 660,000.00

**DISTRICT NUMBER
COUNTY**

2

Hamilton

PROJECT LOCATION ZIP CODE

45231

90 SEP 14 P 3: 59

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY ENGINEER

DISTRICT FUNDING RECOMMENDATION

To be completed by the District Committee ONLY

RECOMMENDED AMOUNT OF FUNDING:

\$ 440,000.00

FUNDING SOURCE (Check Only One):

State Issue 2 District Allocation

☒ Grant

☐ Loan

☐ Loan Assistance

State Issue 2 Small Government Fund

State Issue 2 Emergency Funds

Local Transportation Improvement Fund

FOR OPWC USE ONLY

OPWC PROJECT NUMBER: _____

OPWC FUNDING AMOUNT: \$ _____

1.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION

1.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE
OFFICER
TITLE
STREET

Stephen Wolf
Mayor
7700 Perry Street

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

Mt. Healthy 45231
(513) 931 - 8840
() -

1.2 CHIEF FINANCIAL
OFFICER
TITLE
STREET

Joseph Durante
Auditor
7700 Perry Street

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

Mt. Healthy 45231
(513) 931 - 8840
() -

1.3 PROJECT MGR
TITLE
STREET

Lois Melvin
Safety/Service Director
7700 Perry Street

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

Mt. Healthy 45231
(513) 931 - 8840
() -

1.4 PROJECT CONTACT
TITLE
STREET

Wm. R. McCormick/Joseph M. Allen Co.
City Engineer
1947 Auburn Avenue

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

Cincinnati 45219
(513) 721 - 5500
(513) 721 - 0607

1.5 DISTRICT LIAISON
TITLE
STREET

William Brayshaw, P.E., P.S.
Chief Deputy Engineer
Hamilton County Engineer's Office
223 West Galbraith

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

Cincinnati 45202
(513) 761 - 7400
(513) 761 - 9127

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

IMPORTANT: If project is multi-jurisdictional in nature, information must be consolidated for completion of this section.

2.1 **PROJECT NAME:** Clovernook Avenue Reconstruction

2.2 **BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections A through D):**

A. **SPECIFIC LOCATION:** See attached map

South corp. limits to Compton Avenue

B. **PROJECT COMPONENTS:**

Remove deteriorated concrete base (plain concrete, approximately 8" thick), remove & replace curb, construction of full depth asphalt roadway, storm drainage improvements, stub sanitary sewer trunk line past construction limits.

C. **PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS/CHARACTERISTICS:**

Existing dimensions consist of 25' width, 2725' +/- length. Due to increased traffic and the fact that this is a Metro Bus Route, the width of the road will be increased to 30' to accommodate the heavy traffic volumes.

D. **DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:**

IMPORTANT: Detail shall be included regarding current service capacity vs proposed service level. If road or bridge project, include ADT. If water or wastewater project, include current residential rates based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallons per household.

The capacity of the facility is inadequate in width due to the roadway being 25' back to back which is below acceptable standards for servicing the traffic counts including Metro Bus Route within a residential area without adequate parking lanes.

$$5000 \text{ VPD} \times 1.2 = 6000 \text{ VPD}$$

2.3 **REQUIRED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

(Photographs/Additional Description; Capital Improvements Report; Priority List; 5-year Plan; 2-year Maintenance of Effort report, etc.) Also discuss the number of temporary and/or fulltime jobs which are likely to be created as a result of this project. Attach Pages. Refer to accompanying instructions for further detail.

3.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

3.1 PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS (Round to Nearest Dollar):

a)	Project Engineering Costs:	
	1. Preliminary Engineering	\$ _____
	2. Final Design	\$ _____
	3. Construction Supervision	\$ _____
b)	Acquisition Expenses	
	1. Land	\$ _____
	2. Right-of-Way	\$ _____
c)	Construction Costs	\$ 600,000.00
d)	Equipment Costs	\$ _____
e)	Other Direct Expenses	\$ _____
f)	Contingencies	\$ 60,000.00
g)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS	\$ 660,000.00

3.2 PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES (Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)

	Dollars	%
a)	Local In-Kind Contributions *	
b)	Local Public Revenues	
c)	Local Private Revenues	
d)	Other Public Revenues	
	1. ODOT	
	2. FMHA	
	3. OEPA	
	4. OWDA	
	5. CDBG	
	6. Other <u>Munic. Road Funds (MRF)</u>	
e)	OPWC Funds	
	1. Grant	
	2. Loan	
	3. Loan Assistance	
f)	TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES	

* If the required local match is to be 100% In-Kind Contributions, list source of funds to be used for retainage purposes:

3.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS

Indicate the status of all local share funding sources listed in section 3.2(a) through 3.4(c). In addition, if funds are coming from sources listed in section 3.2(d), the following information must be attached to this project application:

- 1) The date funds are available;
- 2) Verification of funds in the form of an agency approval letter or agency project number. Please include the name and number of the agency contact person.

3.4 PREPAID ITEMS

Definitions:

Cost -	Total Cost of the Prepaid Item.
Cost Item -	Non-construction costs, including preliminary engineering, final design, acquisition expenses (land or right-of-way).
Prepaid -	Cost items (non-construction costs directly related to the project), paid prior to receipt of fully executed Project Agreement from OPWC.
Resource Category -	Source of funds (see section 3.2).
Verification -	Invoice(s) and copies of warrant(s) used to for prepaid costs, accompanied by Project Manager's Certification (see section 1.4).

IMPORTANT: Verification of all prepaid items shall be attached to this project application.

	<u>COST ITEM</u>	<u>RESOURCE CATEGORY</u>	<u>COST</u>
1)	_____	_____	\$ _____
2)	_____	_____	\$ _____
3)	_____	_____	\$ _____
TOTAL OF PREPAID ITEMS			\$ _____

3.5 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION

This section need only be completed if the Project is to be funded by SI2 funds:

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT	\$ 660,000.00	100 %
State Issue 2 Funds for Repair/Replacement (Not to Exceed 90%)	\$ 440,000.00	67
TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT NEW/EXPANSION	\$ _____	_____ %
State Issue 2 Funds for New/Expansion (Not to Exceed 50%)	\$ _____	_____

4.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE

	ESTIMATED START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
4.1 ENGR. DESIGN	04 / 01 / 90	08 / 01 / 90
4.2 BID PROCESS	03 / 15 / 90	04 / 09 / 90
4.3 CONSTRUCTION	05 / 01 / 90	09 / 01 / 90

5.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION

The Applicant Certifies That:

As the official representative of the Applicant, the undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally empowered to represent the applicant in both requesting and accepting financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code and 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; (2) that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are a part of this application are true and correct; (3) that all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are a part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the Applicant; (4) and, should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the Applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio law, including those involving minority business utilization, Buy Ohio, and prevailing wages.

IMPORTANT: Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in this application has not begun, and will not begin, until a Project Agreement on this project has been issued by the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary is evidence that OPWC funds are not necessary to complete this project.

IMPORTANT: In the event of a project cost underrun, applicant understands that the identified local match share (sections 3.2(a) through 3.2(c)) will be paid in full toward completion of this project. Unneeded OPWC funds will be returned to the funding source from which the project was financed.

Stephen Wolf, Mayor

Certifying Representative (Type Name and Title)

Stephen Wolf , 9-14-90
Signature/Date Signed

Applicant shall check each of the statements below, confirming that all required information is included in this application:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | A five-year Capital Improvements Report as required in 164-1-31 of the Ohio Administrative Code and a <u>two-year Maintenance of Local Effort Report</u> as required in 164-1-12 of the Ohio Administrative Code. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | A registered professional engineer's estimate of useful life as required in 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's <u>original seal and signature</u> . |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | A registered professional engineer's estimate of cost as required in 164-1-14 and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's <u>original seal and signature</u> . |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to submit this application and to execute contracts. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | YES
N/A | A copy of the cooperation agreement(s) (for projects involving more than one subdivision or district). |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | YES
N/A | Copies of all invoices and warrants for those items identified as "pre-paid" in section 4.4 of this application. |

6.0 DISTRICT COMMITTEE CERTIFICATION

The District Integrating Committee for District Number 2 Certifies That:

As the official representative of the District Public Works Integrating Committee, the undersigned hereby certifies: that this application for financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code has been duly selected by the appropriate body of the District Public Works Integrating Committee; that the project's selection was based entirely on an objective, District-oriented set of project evaluation criteria and selection methodology that are fully reflective of and in conformance with Ohio Revised Code Sections 164.05, 164.06, and 164.14, and Chapter 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; and that the amount of financial assistance hereby recommended has been prudently derived in consideration of all other financial resources available to the project. As evidence of the District's due consideration of required project evaluation criteria, the results of this project's ratings under such criteria are attached to this application.

DONALD C. SCHRAMM, CHAIRMAN DISTRICT #2 INTEGRATING COMMITTEE

Certifying Representative (Type Name and Title)


Donald C. Schramm 11/2/90

Signature/Date Signed

MT Healthy

5 YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM

Priority #1	Clovernook Avenue
Priority #2	Cedar
Priority #3	Elizabeth
Priority #4	Forest
Priority #5	Seward
Priority #6	St. Clair



City of Mt. Healthy

Perry Street and McMakin Avenue

Mt. Healthy, Ohio 45231

Phone 931-8840




TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE & LOCAL EFFORT REPORT


- 1988 Sidewalk Improvements Contract
Surface Treatment of Residential Streets
- 1989 Sidewalk Improvements Contract
- 1990 Parking Lot Surface Application Treatment
Alley Storm Drain Improvements

USEFUL LIFE EXPECTANCY CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that upon successful completion of the Clovernook Avenue Improvements Project, the useful life expectancy will be at least 20 years.



Joseph M. Allen, P.E.





ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
CLOVERNOOK AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS


ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	EST. QUANT.	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
201	Clearing & Grubbing(including tree trimming)	LS	<u>1</u>	<u></u>	<u>3,970.00</u>
202	Removal of Concrete Drive Aprons	SY	<u>7,000</u>	<u>7.00</u>	<u>49,000.00</u>
202	Excavation of Roadway Rigid Pavement	SY	<u>7,600</u>	<u>2.00</u>	<u>15,200.00</u>
202	Existing Curb Removal	LF	<u>200</u>	<u>7.00</u>	<u>1,400.00</u>
202	Existing Catch Basin Removal	EA	<u>8</u>	<u>200.00</u>	<u>1,600.00</u>
202	Removal of Existing Sidewalk	SF	<u>4,000</u>	<u>2.00</u>	<u>8,000.00</u>
203	Undercut Remove & Replace	CY	<u>300</u>	<u>5.00</u>	<u>1,500.00</u>
203	Excavation (including asphalt and gravel drives) for widening	CY	<u>1,000</u>	<u>2.00</u>	<u>2,000.00</u>
203	Embankment	CY	<u>450</u>	<u>1.00</u>	<u>450.00</u>
301	Bituminous Aggregate Base (including asphalt for drives) and Tack Coat	CY	<u>1,900</u>	<u>65.00</u>	<u>123,500.00</u>
404	Asphalt Concrete Surface Course (including asphalt for drives) and Tack Coat	CY	<u>600</u>	<u>65.00</u>	<u>39,000.00</u>
452	7" Plain Portland Cement for Drives	SY	<u>7,000</u>	<u>22.00</u>	<u>154,000.00</u>
603	12" RCP, Type B, 706.02 (including removal of existing pipe)	LF	<u>1,335</u>	<u>30.00</u>	<u>40,050.00</u>
603	15" RCP, Type B, 706.02 (including removal of existing pipe)	LF	<u>318</u>	<u>35.00</u>	<u>11,130.00</u>


ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	EST. QUANT.	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
604	Storm Manhole MH-3	EA	<u>7</u>	<u>1,500.00</u>	<u>10,500.00</u>
604	Catch Basin CB-3	EA	<u>4</u>	<u>1,500.00</u>	<u>6,000.00</u>
604	Catch Basin CB-3A	EA	<u>9</u>	<u>1,000.00</u>	<u>9,000.00</u>
604	Reconstruct Combination Manhole to Grade	EA	<u>10</u>	<u>1,200.00</u>	<u>12,000.00</u>
608	5" Plain Portland Cement for Walks	SF	<u>4,000</u>	<u>4.00</u>	<u>16,000.00</u>
609	ODOT Type 6 Curb including Downspout Pipe & Connection	LF	<u>5,600</u>	<u>10.00</u>	<u>56,000.00</u>
614	Maintaining Traffic	LS	<u>1</u>		<u>10,000.00</u>
623	Construction Inspection	LS	<u>1</u>		<u>25,000.00</u>
653	2" Topsoil	CY	<u>100</u>	<u>3.00</u>	<u>300.00</u>
659	Seed & Mulch	SY	<u>2,200</u>	<u>2.00</u>	<u>4,400.00</u>

CONSTRUCTION COST	<u>\$600,000.00</u>
CONTINGENCIES	<u>60,000.00</u>
TOTAL	<u>\$660,000.00</u>


 Joseph M. Allen, P.E.







City of Mt. Healthy

Perry Street and McMakin Avenue

Mt. Healthy, Ohio 45231

Phone 931-8840



STATUS OF FUND REPORT

The City of Mt. Healthy has applied for Municipal Road Funds for its participation for 1991 Issue 2 project, Clovernook Avenue.



Joe Durant, Auditor

Requested By: Mayor Stephen Wolf

Date of First Reading: September 4, 1990

suspension of Three Reading Fee: 7 Yes 0 No (Date 7/4/90)

Date Passed: 9/4/90 Vote: 7 Yes 0 No

ORDINANCE NO. 90-946

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SUBMIT AN ISSUE 2
APPLICATION AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

BE IT AND IT IS HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY
OF MT. HEALTHY, STATE OF OHIO, that:

Section 1. The city does hereby authorize the mayor to submit
an Issue 2 application for 1991 to the District Public Works
Integrating Committee and to execute a project agreement
with the Ohio Public Works Commission.

Section 2. This ordinance is hereby declared to be an emergency
and shall go into effect immediately upon its adoption. The
reason for the emergency is to meet the submission deadline.

Gregory W. Meier
President of Council

Attest:

Dee Deckelmeier
Clerk of Council

Approved this 4TH day of SEPTEMBER, 1990.

Stephen G Wolf
Mayor

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

TEMPORARY JOBS:

This project will result in temporary employment due to construction work. Approximately ten (10) to fifteen (15) short-term construction jobs will be created as a result of this project.

FULL-TIME JOBS:

We are not able to foresee any new, full-time employment as a result of this project.

ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For 1991, jurisdictions shall complete the State application form for Issue 2, Small Government, or Local Transportation Improvement Program (LTIP) funding. In addition, the District 2 Integrating Committee requests the following information to determine which projects are funded. Do NOT request a specific type of funding desired, as this is decided by the District Integrating Committee.

1. Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is similar to the infrastructure of this project, what percentage can be classified as being in poor condition, adequacy and/or serviceability?

Typical examples are:

Road percentage= $\frac{\text{Miles of road that are in poor condition}}{\text{Total miles of road within jurisdiction}}$

Storm percentage= $\frac{\text{Miles of storm sewers that are in poor condition}}{\text{Total miles of storm sewers within jurisdiction}}$

Bridge percentage= $\frac{\text{Number of bridges that are in poor condition}}{\text{Number of bridges within jurisdiction}}$

Road = 35% poor condition

Storm Sewer = 40% in poor condition

Bridge = N/A

2. What is the condition of the existing infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.

Closed	_____	Poor	<u>X</u>
Fair	_____	Good	_____

Give a brief statement of the nature of the deficiency of the present facility such as: inadequate load capacity (bridge); surface type and width; number of lanes; structural condition; substandard design elements such as berm width, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, or inadequate service capacity. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

Clovernook was built in the 1940's and is of the utmost importance to the City of Mt. Healthy, not only being a major arterial, but also a Metro Bus Route. It consists of a concrete base with asphalt overlay which is deteriorated. It has poor storm drainage and inadequate width of 25'. This street will be widened to current engineering standards of 30' to accommodate the increased traffic volumes.

3. If State Issue 2 funds are awarded, how soon (in weeks or months) after completion of the agreement with DPWC would the opening of bids occur?

Please indicate the current status of the project development by circling the appropriate answers below.

- | | | | |
|--|------------|----|------------|
| a) Has the Consultant been selected?..... | <u>Yes</u> | No | N/A |
| b) Preliminary development or engineering completed? | <u>Yes</u> | No | N/A |
| c) Detailed construction plans completed?..... | <u>Yes</u> | No | N/A |
| d) All right-of-way acquired?..... | Yes | No | <u>N/A</u> |
| e) Utility coordination completed?..... | <u>Yes</u> | No | N/A |

Give estimate of time, in weeks or months, to complete any item above not yet completed.

None

4. How will the proposed infrastructure activity impact the general health, welfare, and safety of the service area? (Typical examples include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, health hazards, user benefits, and commerce.)

Due to the restricted width of 25', the widening of this road to 30' will enable vehicles to traverse this street safely with parked vehicles. This will also enhance emergency vehicle response time.

5. For any project involving GRANTS, the local jurisdiction must provide a **MINIMUM OF 10%** of the anticipated construction cost. Additionally, the local jurisdiction must pay 100% of the costs of preliminary engineering, inspection of construction, and right-of-way acquisition. If a project is to be funded under Issue 2 or Small Government, the costs of any betterment/expansion are 100% local. Local matching funds must either be currently on deposit with the jurisdiction, or certified as having been approved or encumbered by an outside agency (MRF, CDBG, etc.). Proposed funding must be shown on the Project Application under Section 3.2, "Project Financial Resources". For a project involving LOANS or CREDIT ENHANCEMENTS, 100% of construction costs are eligible for funding, with no local match required.

What matching funds are to be used for this project? (i.e. Federal, State, MRF, Local, etc.)

To what extent are matching funds to be utilized, expressed as a percentage of anticipated CONSTRUCTION costs?

6. Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a complete ban or partial ban of the use or expansion of use for the involved infrastructure? (Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of new building permits.) **THE BAN MUST HAVE AN ENGINEERING JUSTIFICATION TO BE CONSIDERED VALID.**

COMPLETE BAN _____

PARTIAL BAN _____

NO BAN ☒

Will the ban be removed after the project is completed? YES _____ NO _____

Document with specific information explaining what type of ban currently exists and the agency that imposed the ban.

7. What is the total number of existing users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Use appropriate criteria such as households, traffic counts, ridership figures for public transit, daily users, etc., and equate to an equal measurement of users:

5000 VPD x 1.2 = 6000 VPD

For roads and bridges, multiply current documented Average Daily Traffic by 1.2 occupants per car (I.T.E. estimated conversion factor) to determine users per day. Ridership figures for public transit must be documented. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by four (4) to determine the approximate number of users per day.

8. The Ohio Public Works Commission requires that all jurisdictions applying for project funding develop a five year overall Capital Improvement Plan that shall be updated annually. The Plan is to include an inventory and condition survey of existing capital improvements, and a list detailing a schedule for capital improvements and/or maintenance. Both Five-Year Overall and Five-Year Issue 2 Capital Improvement Plans are required.

Copies of these Plans are to be submitted to the District Integrating Committee at the same time the Project Application is submitted.

9. Is the infrastructure to be improved part of a facility that has regional significance? (Consider the number of jurisdictions served, size of service area, trip lengths, functional classification, and length of route.) Provide supporting information.
-
-

OHIO INFRASTRUCTURE BOND PROGRAM (ISSUE 2)
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (LTIP)
DISTRICT 2 - HAMILTON COUNTY
1991 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA

JURISDICTION/AGENCY: MT. HEALTHY

PROJECT IDENTIFICATION:

CLOVERBROOK AVENUE RECONSTRUCTION

PROPOSED FUNDING:

67% OPWC, 33% LOCAL (MRF)

ELIGIBLE CATEGORY:

TS2, LTIP

POINTS

62 POINTS

SHOULD THIS BE
DELAYED UNTIL AFTER
CCH DONE?

10

1) Type of project

10 Points - Bridge, road, stormwater
5 Points - All other projects

10

2) If Issue 2/LTIP funds are granted, how soon after the Project Agreement is completed would a construction contract be awarded? (Even though the jurisdictions will be asked this question, the Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience.)

10 Points - Will definitely be awarded in 1991
5 Points - Some doubt whether it can be awarded in 1991
0 Points - No way it can be awarded in 1991

5

3) What is the condition of the infrastructure to be replaced or repaired? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.

15 Points - Poor condition
10 Points - Fair to Poor condition
5 Points - Fair condition

NOTE: If infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it will NOT be considered for Issue 2/LTIP funding, unless it is a betterment project that will improve serviceability.

- 5 4) If the project is built, what will be its effect on the facility's serviceability?

5 Points - Will significantly effect serviceability
4 Points -
3 Points - Will moderately effect serviceability
2 Points -
1 Point - Will have little or no effect on serviceability

- ~~4~~ 5) Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is similar to the infrastructure of this project, what portion can be classified as being in poor or worse condition, and/or inadequate in service?

10 Points - 50% and over
8 Points - 40% to 49%
6 Points - 30% to 39%
4 Points - 20% to 29%
2 Points - 10% to 19%
0 Points - Less than 10%

- 6 6) How important is the project to the health, welfare, and safety of the public and the citizens of the District and/or the service area?
*WIDENING &
STORM
DRAINAGE*

10 Points - Significant importance
8 Points -
6 Points - Moderate importance
4 Points -
2 Points - Minimal importance

- 8 7) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?

10 Points - Poor
8 Points -
6 Points - Fair
4 Points -
2 Points - Excellent

- 3 8) What matching funds are being committed to the project, expressed as a percentage of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST? Matching funds may be local, Federal, ODOT, MRF, etc. or a combination of funds.

5 Points - More than 50%
4 Points - 40% to 49.9%
3 Points - 30% to 39.9%
2 Points - 20% to 29.9%
1 Point - 10% to 19.9%

MINIMUM 10% MATCHING FUNDS REQUIRED

- 0 9) Has any formal action by a Federal, State, or local governmental agency resulted in a partial or complete ban of the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure? Examples include weight limits on structures and moratoriums on building permits in a particular area due to local flooding downstream. Points can be awarded ONLY if construction of the project being rated will cause the ban to be removed.

10 Points - Complete ban
5 Points - Partial ban
0 Points - No ban

- 6 10) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Appropriate criteria includes traffic counts & households served, when converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for roads and bridges, but only when certifiable ridership figures are provided.

10 Points - 10,000 and Over
8 Points - 7,500 to 9,999
6 Points - 5,000 to 7,499
4 Points - 2,500 to 4,999
2 Points - 2,499 and Under

- 82 11) Does the infrastructure have regional impact? Consider originations & destinations of traffic, size of service area, number of jurisdictions served, functional classification, etc.

5 Points - Major impact
4 Points -
3 Points - Moderate impact
2 Points -
1 Point - Minimal or no impact

TOTAL AVAILABLE = 100 POINTS

82 POINTS
59